

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,565	06/26/2003	Nambirajan Seshadri	14169US02	4707
23446 7750 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER	
			WONG, BLANCHE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/606,565 SESHADRI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Blanche Wong 2419 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2419

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1,2,8-10,11,12,18-20,21,22,28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawyer et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,915,221) in view of Williamson et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,953,320)

With regard to claim 1, Sawyer discloses

coupling a first wireless access point (B1-B10 in Fig. 1, col. 5, line 15) located in a first cell of the mesh network (Cell A-Cell J in Fig. 1, col. 5, line 13) to at least a second wireless access point (B1-B10 in Fig. 1, col. 5, line 15) located in a second cell of the mesh network (Cell A-Cell J in Fig. 1, col. 5, line 13);

providing service initially to at least one of a plurality of access devices (M1-M10 in Fig. 1, col. 1, line 31) in the mesh network by said first wireless access point located

Art Unit: 2419

in said first cell ("a plurality of mobile stations M1-M10 may be found within Cell A-Cell J", col. 5, lines 30-31); and

serving within the mesh network, said at least one of a plurality of access devices by said at least a second wireless access point located in said second cell (mobile assisted handoff, col. 6, lines 60-61), wherein whenever a signal for said at least one of a plurality of access devices falls below a specified threshold (handoff criteria, col. 7, line 28), said at least a second wireless access point is selected from said handoff candidate list (neighbor cell list, col. 7, line 11).

However, Sawyer fails to explicitly show said at least one of said plurality of access devices generates and maintains a handoff candidate list on its own without being prompted to do so by another access device.

In an analogous art of handoff with neighboring list, Williamson discloses said at least one of said plurality of access devices generates ("In response to the received Neighbor List Message ..., the mobile station builds the active neighbor list table at block 202", col. 7, lines 38-41) and maintains ("... the mobile station begins the construction of a temporary neighbor list by replacing the record ... in the existing neighbor list (the neighbor list build in block 202)", col. 8, lines 8-12) a handoff candidate list on its own without being prompted to do so by another access device.

Art Unit: 2419

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Williamson, with Sawyer, for the benefit of a more efficient handoff scheme. Williamson, col. 5, lines 12-22.

With regard to claims 2,12,22, Sawyer further discloses said second cell is a neighboring cell located adjacent to said first cell (neighbor cell list, col. 7, line 11) (See Also Fig. 1).

With regard to claim 8,18,28 Sawyer discloses coupling said first wireless access point located in said first cell to at least a third wireless access point located in said first cell (See Fig. 1) (If two cells are made into one cell, then there are two BTSs in one cell).

With regard to claims 9,10,19,20,29,30, Sawyer discloses when said signal falls below said specified threshold (handoff criteria, col. 7, line 28).

 Claims 3-6,13-16,23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawyer and Williamson as applied to claims 1,11,21 above, and further in view of Gray (U.S. Pat No. 6,108,323).

With regard to claims 3,4,13,14,23,24, the combination of Sawyer and Williamson discloses the method, a computer-readable medium, and a system

Art Unit: 2419

according to claims 2 and 3, 12 and 13, 22 and 23, respectively. However, the combination fails to explicitly show beamforming antenna coupled to an access point.

Gray discloses beamforming antenna coupled to an access point (a beamforming antenna of a base station, col. 3, lines 45-46).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Gray, with Sawyer and Williamson, in order to allow system capacity and demand on system resources to be dynamically adjusted. Gray, col. 3, lines 22-23.

With regard to claims 5,15,25, the combination of Sawyer, Williamson and Gray discloses the method, a computer-readable medium, and a system according to claims 4,14,24 respectively.

Gray further discloses an uplink channel (forward link with one or more base stations, col. 3, line 44).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Gray, with Sawyer and Williamson, in order to provide for duplex communication between access point and device.

With regard to claims 6,16,26, the combination of Sawyer, Williamson and Gray discloses the method, a computer-readable medium, and a system according to claims 5,15,25 respectively.

Art Unit: 2419

Gray further discloses a downlink channel (forward link with one or more base stations, col. 3, line 44) (the base station that receives the forward link, has the downlink).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Gray, with Sawyer and Williamson, in order to provide for duplex communication between access point and device.

 Claims 7,17,27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawyer, Williamson, and Gray as applied to claims 6,16,26 above, and further in view of Noll et al. (U.S. Pat No. 7,092,714).

With regard to claims 7,17,27, the combination of Sawyer, Williamson and Gray discloses the method, a computer-readable medium, and a system according to claims 6,16,26 respectively. However, the combination does not explicitly show a backhaul channel.

Noll discloses a backhaul channel (a backhaul channel, col. 1, line 36).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Noll, with Sawyer, Williamson and Gray, in order to provide for strengthened communication with repeaters for receiving and transmitting information to/from the mobile communications devices. Noll, col. 1, lines 31-33.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,565 Page 7

Art Unit: 2419

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530om.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Blanche Wong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2419 June 10, 2009 /Ayaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419